

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/589,675	06/07/2000	Steven C. Murray	PA1513US	8651
. 75	90 10/25/2004		EXAM	INER
MARK A. HAYNES, ESQ.			FARAH, AHMED M	
HAYNES BEF	FEL & WOLFELD LLP			
P.O. B OX 366			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HALF MOON I	BAY, CA 94019	•	3739	
		•		

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T A 11 11	Applicant/a)					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\sim 4$				
_	09/589,675	MURRAY ET AL.	O'				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ahmed M Farah	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1							
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e. cause the application to become AE	ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commanDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· —·						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8,10 and 17-21</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,9,11-14 and 22-33</u> is/are rejected.							
<ul> <li>7)⊠ Claim(s) 4,15 and 16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or diconorradanomen.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>		A collection No.					
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	au (PCT Rule 17 2(a)).	IT TECEIVED III tills trational o	Augu				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachment(s)	_	a (pmà 110)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)				

Art Unit: 3739

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claim 15 is again objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "contracting the target" in line 3 is believed to be a typographical error. For the purpose of examination, this phrase is treated as --contacting the target--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 9, 11-14, 22-27 and 33 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kosa U.S. Patent No. 4,695,697.

As to claims 1, 9, 22, and 26, Kosa discloses a laser delivery system for irradiating tissue (see column 6, lines 45-54), the system comprising:

a fluorescent element **22** positioned to receive a pump radiation having a narrow spectral band (see Fig. 1) and responsively generate radiation by spontaneous emission, the spontaneously emitted radiation being diffuse (see Figs. 2-8) and having a peak emission outside the pump radiation (see column 4, lines 37-45); wherein the

Art Unit: 3739

fluorescent element is adapted to deliver at least a portion of the diffuse emitted radiation toward a tissue target.

As to claims 2-3 and 5, the fluorescent material includes fluorescent ions and is selected from a group consisting of a solid-state crystal and glass (see column 6, lines 55-66)

As to claim 11, the pump radiation is generated by an Nd:YAG laser. Neodymium YAG lasers are commonly operated at the principle, 2<sup>nd</sup> harmonic generation (frequency-doubled), 3<sup>rd</sup> harmonic generation, etc.

As to claims 12 and 23, the pump radiation is delivered to the fluorescent element through an optical fiber 20 as presently claimed.

As to claims 14 and 25, the optical fiber 20 comprises a reflective coating 33 (conventional fiber cladding film) that is transparent to the pump radiation to reflect/direct the emitted radiation toward the target tissue as presently claimed.

As to claim 27, Kosa teaches that his apparatus has general utility for various systems employing laser beam energy. He further teaches that the tip assembly is adapted for use in combination with laser devices for a variety of medical procedures such as angioplasty, arteriosclerosis, etc. (see column 4, line 45 to column 5, line 2). As to claims 24-26 and 33, a portion of the spontaneously emitted radiation from the fluorescent element is reflected back to the optical fiber. The boundary between the optical fiber core and cladding material in turn reflects at least a portion of said reflected radiation back to the target tissue. Hence the delivery system of Kosa provides the claimed limitation.

Art Unit: 3739

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 28-32 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kosa in view of Anderson et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,735,844.

Although Kosa teaches that his apparatus is used for variety of medical procedures (see column 4, line 45 to column 5, line 2), he does not particularly teach it is used for treating tumor, pigmented lesion, or removing hair. He further fails to teach that the target tissue is cooled.

Anderson et al. teach a medical treatment device comprising: an Nd:YAG laser (see column 9, line 6) for generating a treatment energy; an optical fiber adapted to deliver the treatment/laser energy to a delivery tip (see Fig. 2A), the delivery tip comprising a cooling unit and a tissue contact tip 46 for simultaneously directing the treatment energy to the target tissue and cooling the tissues being treated.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Kosa in view of Anderson et al to cool the tissue during treatment so as to avoid undesired injury/heating of the tissue and/or to reduce discomfort to the patient. It would have further obvious to use the device for treating tumors, pigmented lesions, and/or hair removal.

Art Unit: 3739

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8, 10 and 17-21 are allowed.

As to claims 6-8, the prior art of record do not teach or suggest a device for irradiating tissue as claimed, the device comprising a fluorescent element positioned to receive pump radiation having a narrow-spectral band and responsively generate radiation by spontaneous emission, the spontaneously emitted radiation being diffuse and having peak emission outside said narrow spectral band: and the fluorescent element being adapted to deliver al least a portion of the diffuse emitted radiation toward a tissue target, wherein the fluorescent element comprises a liquid fluorescent dye solution.

As to claim 10, the prior art of record do not teach or suggest a device for irradiating tissue as claimed, the device comprising a diffuse reflector for redirecting at least a portion of a diffuse emitted radiation toward a tissue target, wherein the diffuse reflector has a frustro-conical shape.

As to claims 17-22, the prior art of record do not teach or suggest a device for irradiating tissue as presently claimed, the device comprising a redirector for redirecting at least a portion of the diffuse, spontaneously emitted radiation toward a target tissue, wherein the redirector comprises a waveguide including a reflective entrance face and reflective walls, the entrance face having a substantially transmissive aperture formed therein for admitting pump radiation into the waveguide.

Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims. Claim 15 and 16 are would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the claim objections set forth in this Office action.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on May 5<sup>th</sup>, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the fluorescent radiation in Kosa (U.S. Patent No. 4,695,697), is fed back to a sensor element to monitor the catheter output or temperature, whereas in his invention the fluorescent radiation is a forward emission directed to a target tissue.

In response to this argument, although the applicant explanation that the Kose uses a backscattered fluorescent radiation from a lens at the tip of the catheter to monitor the catheter output or temperature is absolutely correct/agreed, the examiner's position is that at least the forward scattered portion of the fluorescent radiation from the outer surface of the lens is directed to the target tissue adjacent the distal tip of the lens. Hence, the examiner maintains his prior art rejections.

This Office Action is not made Final because the examiner has discovered that the prior art rejection of claim 4 in the earlier Office actions was improper.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahmed M Farah whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Art Unit: 3739

5787. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 9:30 AM-7:30 PM, and 9:30 AM - 6:30 PM on every other Friday.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M DVorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Farah,

Patent Examiner, AU 3739

10/15/2004